receiving an information transmission containing	For example, at page 470, line 1 through page 471, line 2, page 478, lines 23-26, page 482, line 32 through page 483, line 2, page 484, lines 7-18, page 354 through 390, and page 490, line 23 through page 492, line 19.
programming said receiver station	For example, page 484, lines 7-18, page 515, lines 5-9, page 453, line 1 through page 456, line 26.
performing a primary error	For example, page 157, lines 2-5
passing information to said memory	For example, page 488, lines 24-27
discerning a failure transmission	For example, page 515, lines 2-9
executing a predetermined secondary error correction routine	For example, page 515, line 1 through page 516, line 13, page 233, line 21 through page 235, line 20 and page 452, line 30 through page 453, line 1.

2. Conclusion

Applicants respectfully submit that claims 5-34 of the subject application particularly point out and claim the subject matter sufficiently for one of ordinary skill in the art to comprehend the bounds of the claimed invention. The test for definiteness of a claim is whether one skilled in the art would understand the bounds of the patent claim when read in light of the specification, and if the claims so read reasonably apprise those skilled in the art of the scope of the invention, no more is required. *Credle v. Bond*, 25 F.3d 1556, 30 U.S.P.Q.2d 1911 (Fed. Cir. 1994). The legal standard for definiteness is whether a claim reasonably apprises those of skill in the art of its scope. *In re Warmerdam*, 33 F.3d 1354, 31 U.S.P.Q.2d 1754 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Applicants' believe that the above recited remarks are sufficient to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, and respectfully request withdrawal of these rejections. Applicants provide these specific embodiments in support of the pending claims by way of example only. The claims must be read as broadly as is reasonable in light of the specification, and Applicants in no way intend that their

submission of excerpts/examples be construed to unnecessarily restrict the scope of the claimed subject matter.

E. Request for Withdrawal of Finality of Rejection

Claims 5-21 and 23-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeLuca in view of George. That is a new grounds of rejection that the Final Office Action alleges was necessitated by amendment. Applicants respectfully disagree.

In the first Office Action, claims 5-24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 over DeLuca. Claim 5 recited the steps of receiving a program, performing a primary error correction routine, passing information contained in the program to memory, discerning a failure, and executing a secondary error correction routine. Claim 22, which depends from claim 5, recited "receiving at least some of said primary error correction routine and said secondary error correction routine from a remote source." Accordingly, the element of receiving processing instructions for an error correction routine was within the scope of the claims of the originally submitted claims.

Claim 5 was amended to recite "receiving an information transmission containing processor instructions and a program; programming said receiver station to perform a predetermined secondary error correction routine in accordance with said processor instruction." That amendment to claim 5 is within the scope of what was claimed in the originally filed claims, particularly in view of the features recites in claim 22, for example. Accordingly, the new grounds of rejection issued in the Final Office Action was not necessitated by amendment. Further, the amendment could not have required further search due to the pendancy of claim 22. Applicants therefore respectfully request withdrawal of the finality of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103 raised for the first time in the Final Office Action.

E. Response to Obviousness Rejection of Claims

1. 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) Rejection over DeLuca U.S. Pat. No. 4,835,777 in view of George, U.S. Pat. No. 4,495,623

Claims 5-21 and 23-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeLuca in view of George. Applicants respectfully traverse.

To establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be meet. First, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the reference to combine the teachings. Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the prior art reference (or references combined) must teach or suggest all the claim recitations. The teaching or suggestion to make the claimed combination and the reasonable expectation of success must both be found in the prior art, not based on Applicants' disclosure. *In re Vaeck*, 947 F.2d 488, 20 USPQ2d 1438 (Fed. Cir. 1991). MPEP 706.02(j).

With respect to all of the rejected claims, Applicants assert that the combination is improper because the Final Office Action fails to establish where the prior art suggests the combination of DeLuca and George. The Final Office Action merely recites that it would have been obvious to make the combination. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully assert that the combination is improper.

With respect to Applicants' claims 5-21 and 30-34, even if the combination of DeLuca and George were considered proper, that combination fails to, *inter alia*, teach or suggest all the claim recitations, i.e., the recitation of receiving an information transmission containing processor instructions and a program, programming said receiver station to perform a predetermined error correction routine in accordance with the processor instructions, and then executing the processor instructions received.

Neither DeLuca or George send processor instruction that instruct the receiver station

how to perform an error correction routine. In DeLuca and George, the error correction routines that are performed are stored at the receiver and therefore, there is no need to transmit those routines with the program that is to be received.

With respect to claim 23, DeLuca fails to disclose receiving an information transmission with a portion of processor instructions, generating the remainder of the processor instructions, and transmitting the information transmission with the processor instructions.

With respect to claims 24, even if the combination were proper, DeLuca does not disclose receiving an instruct signal which effects a transmission station or a receiver station to generate a program, receiving a control signal as recited, or transmitting the instruct and control signals. Nowhere does DeLuca teach or suggest receiving anything other than an information transmission comprising a paging message, and fails to teach or suggest receiving an instruct signal which effects one of a transmission station and a receiver station to generate a program, receiving a transmitter control signal which operates at said transmitter station to communicate said program to a transmitter and transmitting the instruct signal and the control signal.

The Final Office Action cites to pages in DeLuca that Applicants assert do not support the assertion that DeLuca shows those features. Those pages merely disclose that DeLuca receives pager messages using parity bits. Nothing in that information sent by DeLuca effects the generation of a program or to transmit a program. George does not cure the deficiencies of DeLuca.

With respect to claim 27, DeLuca and George fail to disclose receiving computer programming that programs the receiver station, as recited in the claims. DeLuca and George also fail to disclose performing a primary error correction routine by processing at least a portion of the computer programming, discerning a failure ... by reprocessing the computer programming or executing the secondary error correction routine in accordance with the received computer programming.

With respect to claim 28, the combination of DeLuca and George, even if proper, fails to disclose the step of selecting a secondary error detection routine from a plurality. The Final Office Action does not include a statement as to where within either DeLuca or George selecting from a plurality of secondary error detection routines is disclosed. Further, neither DeLuca or George disclose or suggest storage of a plurality of secondary error detection routines. The pages cited in the Final Office Action relative to secondary error detection in DeLuca do not disclose storage of a plurality of such routines that are selected. Instead, DeLuca has a set routine that is executed. Accordingly, George does not disclose the step of selecting from the plurality.

With respect to claim 29, DeLuca and George fail to disclose receiving information transmission containing a program or discerning a failure evidencing an incompletion of the program.

With respect to claims 30-34, DeLuca and George additionally fail to disclose discerning a failure evidencing one of an incomplete and an incorrect program.

Applicants respectfully request that the 35 U.S.C. §103(a) rejection of claims 5-21 and 23-34 be withdrawn.

F. Response to Objection To the Drawings

The Final Office Action objects to the drawings as allegedly failing to show every feature in the claims. Applicants respectfully traverse this objection. Each of claims 5-34 recite physical structure that is shown in the drawings. The Final Office Action appears to be objecting to the lack of any block diagrams relating to the method steps recited. Applicants believe that such drawings are not necessary to the understanding of the invention and therefore, are not required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.83. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully traverse this objection.

G. Allowability of Claim 22

Applicants respectfully assert that claim 22 is allowable because the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112 has been overcome. Because claim 22 has not been rejected under any other basis, Applicants believe at least this claim to be in condition for allowance.

III. CONCLUSION

In accordance with the foregoing it is respectfully submitted that all outstanding objections and rejections have been overcome and/or rendered moot. Further, all pending claims patentably distinguish over the prior art, taken in any proper combination. Thus, there being no further outstanding objections or rejections, the application is submitted as being in a condition for allowance, which action is earnestly solicited.

If Examiner has any remaining informalities to be addressed, it is believed that prosecution can be expedited by Examiner contacting the undersigned attorney for telephone interview to discuss resolution of such informalities.

Date: March 2, 1998

HOWREY & SIMON

1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, D.C. 20004

Tel: (202) 783-0800

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas J. Scott, Jr.

Reg. No. 27,836

Attorney for Applicants

APPENDIX A

The following foreign reference has been cited by Applicants in the Information disclosure Statements filed 12-8-95, 12-22-95, 2-6-96, 4-17-96 and 4-7-97. Applicants have further included the following relevancy statement as well as an English abstract (in the case of foreign patents), thus meeting the requirements as set forth in 37 CFR 1.98 and MPEP § 609.

For the Information Disclosure Statement filed 12-22-95:

23 38 330 February 13, 1975 Germany

This reference discloses television receivers that transmit control signals to a decoder/processor combination.

For the Information Disclosure Statement filed 2-6-96:

61-050470 March 12, 1986 Japan

This reference discloses a program engagement device that displays the program content at a television receiver and includes a display output control device.

60-61935 April 9, 1985 Japan

This reference discloses a system that generates, detects, communicates, and/or converts digital signals.

For the Information Disclosure Statement filed 4-17-96:

2 058 681 June 15, 1972 Germany

This reference discloses a television mode arrangement for transmitting, receiving, and presenting coded information.

For the Information Disclosure Statement filed 4-7-97:

0 020 242 December 10, 1980 European

This reference discloses a teletext character alignment process.

0 046 108 February 17, 1982 European

This reference discloses a integrated circuit interface between a television receiver and recorder.

0 049 184 April 7, 1982 European

This reference discloses a pocket teaching aid using a television receiver with a teletext system.

0 055 167 June 30, 1982 European

This reference discloses a teletext CRT display for messages from a composite memory.

0 077 712 April 27, 1983 European

This reference discloses a multi-channel digital packet television broadcasting system.

0 078 185 May 4, 1983 European

This reference discloses a digital packet broadcasting system using television transmissions.

2 496 376 June 18, 1982 France

This reference discloses a teletext display of data on the television screen.

2 516 733 May 5, 1983 France

This reference discloses an error controller for a teletext television decoder.

2 823 175 November 29, 1989 Germany

This reference discloses a teletext information display for television transmission.

24 53 441 May 13, 1976 Germany

This reference discloses a wideband signal transmission with digital to image signal conversion.

DE 30339949 May 6, 1982 Germany

This reference discloses a method for the generation of teletext display having a color character contrast.

DE 3112249 October 7, 1982 Germany

This reference discloses a processing signals from either a colored television receiver or from a video text decoder.

DE 3020787 December 17, 1981 Germany

This reference discloses a television transmission system that sends extra data during a blanking period.

WO 80/00292 February 21, 1980 Japan

This reference discloses a decoder for a television receiver that has a color component that splits signals and recombines the signals into a composite drive current signal.

WO 83/00789 March 3, 1983 Japan

This reference discloses an image display unit which displays received image signals via a memory, wherein the image signals include teletext displays of weather reports or television programs.

Graf, P.H., "Antiope-Uebertragung fuer Breitbandige Videotex-Verteildienste," 1981.

This reference shows an Antiope demodulator/detector.

Heller, Arthur, "VPS - Ein Neues System Zuragsgesteurten Programmanfzeichnung, Rundfunk technisde Mitteilungen, pp. 162-169.

This reference discloses a decoding system for use with a VCR.

Marti, B et al., Discrete, service de television cryptee, Revue de radiodiffusion - television (1975), pp. 24-30.

This reference discloses an analog decryption system.

Strauch, D., "(Las Media De Telecommunication Devant la Rapture. Les Nonvellas Methodes Presentees a L'Eposition International 1979 de Radio (Et Television)) 1979.

This reference is a discussion of videotext, teletext, ceefax, oracle, and antiope.

APPENDIX B

	Attorney Docket No.	Serial No.
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT	05634.0234	08/459,788
CITATION FORM	Applicant(s) John C. Harvey and James W. Cuddihy	
	Filing Date June 2, 1995	Group Art Unit 2744

UNITED STATES PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER			And the transfer of the transfer of	
INITIAL	NUMBER		NAME	SUBCLASS DATE*
	Re 27,810	November 20, 1973	Buehrle	325/321
	2,418,127	April 1, 1947	Labin	178/44
	2,563,448	August 7, 1951	Aram	178/5.1
	3,071,649	January 1, 1963	Goodall	179/1.5
	3,107,274	October 15, 1963	Roschke	178/5.1
	3,133,986	May 19, 1964	Morris et al.	178/5.1
	3,251,051	May 10, 1966	Harries	340/345
	3,470,309	September 30, 1969	Nyberg	178/5.1
	3,478,166	November 11, 1969	Reiter et al.	178/5.1
	3,526,843	September 1, 1970	Sanville	329/104
	3,546,684	December 8, 1970	Maxwell et al.	340/172.5
	3,639,686	February 1, 1972	Walker et al.	178/5.8R
	3,649,749	March 14, 1972	Gibson	178/5.6
	3,651,261	March 21, 1972	Guanella	178/22
	3,666,888	May 30, 1972	Sekimoto	178/69.5 TV
	3,723,637	March 27, 1973	Fujio et al.	178/5.2R
	3,746,799	July 17, 1973	Gentges	178/22
	3,755,624	August 28, 1973	Sekimoto	178/69.5 TV
	3,769,579	October 30, 1973	Harney	325/31
	3,773,979	November 20, 1973	Kirk, Jr. et al.	179/15 FD
	3,777,053	December 4, 1973	Wittig et al.	178/5.1
	3,789,131	January 29, 1974	Harney	178/5.1
	3,794,922	February 26, 1974	Osborn et al.	325/53
	3,795,763	March 5, 1974	Golding et al.	178/5.6
	3,813,482	May 28, 1974	Blonder	178/5.1
	3,826,863	July 30, 1974	Johnson	178/5.1
	3,859,596	January 7, 1975	Jannery et. al.	325/31
	3,882,289	May 6, 1975	Walding et al.	200/11 D
	3,885,089	May 20, 1975	Callais et al.	178/5.1
	3,889,054	June 10, 1975	Nagel et al.	178/6.8
	3,894,177	July 8, 1975	Howell et al.	178/5.6

	3,896,262	July 22, 1975	Hudspeth et al.	178/5.1
	3,896,266	July 22, 1975	Waterbury	179/1 SB
	3,916,091	October 28, 1975	Kirk, Jr. et al.	178/5.1
	3,924,059	December 2, 1975	Horowitz	178/5.1
	3,950,618	April 13, 1976	Bloisi	179/2 AS
	3,958,081	May 18, 1976	Ehrsam et al.	178/22
	3,975,585	August 17, 1976	Kirk, Jr. et al.	178/5.1
	3,990,012	November 2, 1976	Karnes	325/308
	3,996,586	December 7, 1976	Dillon et al.	340/347 DD
	4,004,085	January 18, 1977	Makino et al.	340/324
	4,008,369	February 15, 1977	Theurer et al.	358/84
	4,013,875	March 22, 1977	McGlynn	235/150.2
	4,015,286	March 29, 1977	Russell	358/13
	4,019,201	April 19, 1977	Hartung et al.	358/124
	4,020,419	April 26, 1977	Caspari et al.	325/421
	4,024,574	May 17, 1977	Nieson	358/117
	4,024,575	May 17, 1977	Harney et al.	358/118
	4,027,267	May 31, 1977	Larsen	329/106
	4,027,331	May 31, 1977	Nicol	358/135
	4,042,958	August 16, 1977	Saylor et al.	358/141
	4,044,376	August 23, 1977	Porter	358/84
	4,045,814	August 30, 1977	Hartung et al.	358/124
	4,054,911	October 18, 1977	Fletcher et al.	358/141
<u> </u>	4,064,490	December 20, 1977	Nagel	364/2000
	4,070,693	January 24, 1978	Shutterly	358/123
	4,075,660	February 21, 1978	Horowitz	358/124
	4,079,419	March 14, 1978	Seigle et al.	358/193
	4,081,754	Mach 28, 1978	Jackson	325/396
	4,081,832	March 28, 1978	Sherman	358/124
	4,086,434	April 25, 1978	Bocchi	79/2 AM
	4,088,958	May 9, 1978	Suzuki et al.	325/396
	4,091,417	May 23, 1978	Nieson	358/117
	4,095,258	June 13, 1978	Sperber	358/120
	4,096,542	June 20, 1978	Pappas et al.	361/196
	4,104,681	August 1, 1978	Saylor et al.	358/141
	4,107,734	August 15, 1978	Percy et al.	358/84
	4,107,735	August 15, 1978	Frobach	358/84
	4,112,317	September 5, 1978	Everswick	307/308
	4,112,383	September 5, 1978	Burgert	329/50
	4,114,841	September 19, 1978	Muhlfelder et al.	244/166
	4,120,003	October 10, 1978	Mitchell et al.	358/142
	4,124,887	November 7, 1978	Johnson et al.	364/107
	4,126,762	November 21, 1978	Martin et al.	179/2A
	4,135,213	January 16, 1979	Wintfeld et al.	358/142
	1 T. 100.4 10	i January 10, 1010	TTITLICIA CLAI.	000/172
			Freund	325/309
	4,142,156 4,145,717	February 27, 1979 March 20, 1979	Freund Guif et al.	325/309 358/121

	4,156,253	May 22, 1979	Steudel	358/11	
	4,156,931	May 29, 1979	Adelman et al.	364/900	
	4,163,252	July 31, 1979	Mistry et al.	358/118	
<u> </u>	4,180,709	December 25, 1979	Cosgrove et al.	179/2 AM	<u> </u>
	4,199,656	April 22, 1980	Saylor	178/66.1	
	4,199,781	April 22, 1980	Doumit	358/83	
	4,199,809	April 22, 1980	Pasahow et al.	364/200	
	4,207,524	June 10, 1980	Purchase	375/22	
ļ		July 22, 1980	Brown	358/188	
	4,214,273	November 13, 1984	Davidson	358/124	
<u> </u>	4,216,497	August 5, 1980	Ishman et al.	358/84	
		September 9, 1980	Thompson	358/120	
	4,222,068	September 30, 1980	Block et al.	358/122	
	4,225,884	January 13, 1981		358/124	
	4,245,246		Cheung Davies		
	4,246,611	January 20, 1981		358/194	
	4,247,947	January 27, 1981	Miyamoto	455/38	
	4,250,521	February 10, 1981	Wright	358/8	
	4,258,386	March 24, 1981	Cheung	358/84	
	4,266,243	May 5, 1981	Shutterly	358/121	
	4,272,784	June 9, 1981	Saito et al.	358/127	
	4,273,962	June 16, 1981	Wolfe	179/7.1R	
	4,292,650	September 29, 1981	Hendrickson	358/123	
	4,295,155	October 13, 1981	Jarger et al.	358/12	
	4,301,542	November 17, 1981	Weintraub et al.	455/353	
	4,305,101	December 8, 1991	Yarbrough et al.	360/69	
	4,310,854	January 12, 1982	Baer et al.	358/143	
	4,316,217	February 16, 1982	Rifken	358/86	
	4,318,047	March 2, 1982	Dawson	328/112	
	4,323,921	April 6, 1982	Guillou	358/114	
	4,323,922	April 6, 1982	den Toonder et al.	358/117	
	4,329,711	May 11, 1982	Cheung	358/114	
	4,335,426	June 15, 1982	Maxwell et al.	364/200	
	4,340,906	July 20, 1982	den Toonder et al.	358/124	·····
	4,341,925	July 27, 1982	Doland	178/22.17	
	4,343,042	August 3, 1982	Schrock et al.	455/5	
	4,348,696	September 7, 1982	Beier	358/188	
<u> </u>	4,354,201	October 12, 1982	Sechet et al.	358/122	- <u> </u>
	4,355,415	October 19, 1982	George et al.	455/185	*****
	4,358,672	November 9, 1982	Hyatt et al.	235/380	
	4,360,881	November 23, 1982	Martinson	364/493	
	4,361,848	November 30, 1982	Poignet et al.	358/1	
ļ	4,361,851	November 30, 1982	Asip et al.	358/84	
<u></u>	4,361,903	November 30, 1982	Ohta	455/2	
ļ	4,365,267	December 21, 1982	Tsuda	358/84	
	4,378,470	March 29, 1983	Murto et al.	179/2 C	
	4,382,256	May 5, 1983	Nagata	340/825.44	
	4,385,384	May 24, 1983	Rosbury et al.	371/22	

	4,386,436	May 31, 1983	Kocher et al.	455/151
	4,388,643	June 14, 1983	Aminetzah	358/123
	4,388,644	June 14, 1983	Ishman et al.	358/84
	4,390,898	June 28, 1983	Bond et al.	358/1199
	4,390,901	June 28, 1983	Keiser et al.	358/147
	4,392,135	July 5, 1983	Ohyagi	340/825.44
	4,393,277	July 12, 1983	Besen et al.	179/2 A
	4,408,345	October 4, 1983	Yashiro et al.	455/3
	4,411,017	October 18, 1983	Talbot	455/26
	4,414,621	November 8, 1983	Bown et al.	364/200
	4,415,771	November 15, 1983	Martinez	179/5R
	4,418,425	November 29, 1983	Fennel et al	455/27
	4,424,533	January 3, 1984	Rzeszewski	358/167
	4,425,578	January 10, 1984	Haselwood et al.	358/84
	4,425,579	January 10, 1984	Merrell	358/86
	4,425,664	January 10, 1984	Sherman et al.	375/8
	4,427,968	January 24, 1984	York	340/310
	4,429,385	January 31, 1984	Cichelli et al.	370/92
	4,430,731	February 7, 1984	Gimple et al.	370/30
	4,434,438	February 28, 1984	Rzeszewski	358/167
	4,439,785	March 27, 1984	Leonard	358/120
	4,450,481	May 22, 1984	Dickinson	358/114
	4,450,531	May 22, 1984	Kenyon et al.	364/604
	4,454,538	June 12, 1984	Toriumi	358/86
	4,468,701	August 28, 1984	Burcher et al.	358/181
	4,471,352	September 11, 1984	Soulliard et al.	340/825.44
	4,475,123	October 2, 1984	Dumbauld et al.	358/114
	4,476,535	October 9, 1984	Loshing et al.	364/480
	4,484,218	November 20, 1984	Boland et al.	358/86
	4,484,328	November 20, 1984	Schlafly	370/85
	4,488,179	December 11, 1984	Kruger et al.	358/181
	4,489,220	December 18, 1984	Oliver	179/2 AM
	4,489,316	December 18, 1984	MacQuivey	340/700
	4,494,142	January 15, 1985	Mistry	358/118
	4,496,975	January 29, 1985	Noirel	358/147
	4,504,831	March 12, 1985	Jahr et al.	340/870.03
	4,506,387	March 9, 1985	Walter	455/612
	4,510,623	April 9, 1985	Bonneau et al.	455/181
	4,528,589	July 9, 1985	Block et al.	358/122
	4,531,020	July 23, 1985	Wechselberger et al.	178/22.08
	4,531,021	July 23, 1985	Bluestein et al.	178/22.08
	4,540,849	September 10, 1985	Oliver	179/2 AM
	4,543,616	September 24, 1985	Brooks	358/335
<u> </u>	4,547,804	October 15, 1985	Greenberg	358/142
	4,554,584	November 19, 1985	Elam et al.	358/165
	4,558,464	December 10, 1985	O'Brien, Jr.	455/4
	4,563,702	January 7, 1986	Heller et al.	358/119

	4,566,030	January 21, 1986	Nickerson et al.	358/84	
	4,570,930	February 18, 1986	Matheson	273/1 E	
	4,578,536	March 25, 1986	Oliver et al.	179/2 AM	
	4,578,718	March 25, 1986	Parker et al.	360/10.3	
	4,592,546	June 3, 1986	Fascenda et al.	273/1 E	
	4,594,609	July 10, 1986	Romao et al.	358/119	
	4,595,952	June 17, 1986	Filliman	358/47	
	4,600,918	July 15, 1986	Belisomi et al.	340/711	
	4,600,921	July 15, 1986	Thomas	340/825.31	
	4,605,964	August 12, 1986	Chard	358/147	
	4,611,227	September 9, 1986	Brockhurst et al.	358/147	
	4,613,901	September 23, 1986	Gilhousen et al.	358/122	
	4,621,259	November 4, 1986	Schepers et al.	340/707	
	4,621,285	November 4, 1986	Schilling et al.	358/120	
	4,623,920	November 18, 1986	Dufresne et al.	358/122	*
	4,626,892	December 2, 1986	Nortrup et al.	358/21 R	
	4,633,297	December 30, 1996	Skerlos et al.	358/22	<u> </u>
	4,636,858	January 13, 1987	Hague et al.	358/147	
	4,638,357	January 20, 1987	Heimbach	358/121	-
	4,639,779	January 27, 1987	Greenberg	358/142	
	4,646,145	February 24, 1987	Percy et al.	358/84	
	4,649,533	March 10, 1987	Chorley et al.	370/58	
	4,658,290	April 14, 1987	McKenna	358/84	
	4,677,685	June 30, 1987	Kurisu	455/4	
	4,694,490	September 15, 1987	Harvey et al.	380/20	
	4,704,725	November 3, 1987	Harvey et al.	380/48	
	4,706,121	November 10, 1987	Young	358/142	
<u> </u>	4,710,919	December 1, 1987	Oliver et al.	370/96	
	4,710,955	December 1, 1987	Kauffman	380/10	
	4,718,107	January 5, 1988	Hayes	455/4	
	4,723,302	February 2, 1988	Fulmer et al.	455/2	
-	4,736,422	April 5, 1988	Mason	380/120	
	4,744,080	May 10, 1988	Brennand et al.	280/21	
	4,751,732	June 14, 1988	Kamitake	380/20	
	4,754,326	June 28, 1988	Kram et al.	364/900	
	4,768,144	August 30, 1988	Winter et al.	364/200	
	4,768,229	August 30, 1988	Benjamin et al.	380/20	
	4,782,401	November 1, 1988	Faerber et al.	358/335	
****	4,785,420	November 15, 1988	Little	364/513.5	
	4,796,181	January 3, 1989	Wiedmer	364/406	<u>:</u>
	4,803,725	February 7, 1989	Horne et al.	380/44	
	4,805,020	February 14, 1989	Greenberg	358/147	
	4,809,274	February 28, 1989	Walker et al.	371/37	
	4,816,904	March 28, 1989	McKenna et al.	358/84	
	4,841,386	June 20, 1989	Schiering	360/69	
	4,843,482	June 27, 1989	Hegendorfer	358/335	
					
L	4,855,842	August 8, 1989	Hayes et al.	358/342	

4,862,268	August 9, 1989	Campbell et al.	358/141	
4,879,611	November 7, 1989	Fukui et al.	360/69	
4,885,579	December 5, 1989	Sandbank	340/825.72	
4,888,796	December 19, 1989	Olivo, Jr.	379/101	
4,982,430	January 1, 1991	Frezza et al.	380/50	
4,993,066	February 12, 1991	Jenkins	380/16	

^{*} If Pertinent

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER	DOCUMENT	PUBLICATION		CLASS/	TRANS	LATIO
INITIAL	NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	SUBCLASS	YES	N
	0 020 242	December 10, 1980	European	G09G 1/16		Х
	0 046 108	February 17, 1982	European	H04N 5/76		Х
	0 049 184	April 7, 1982	European	G09B 7/08		Х
	0 055 167	June 30, 1982	European	G09G 1/16		Х
	0 056 649	July 28, 1982	Euorpean	H04N 5/44	Х	
	0 077 712	April 27, 1983	European	H04N 7/00		X
	0 078 185	May 4, 1983	European	H04N 7/00		Χ
	1,189,612	June 25, 1985	Canada	Ho4n 7/08	Х	
	1,216,977	June 8, 1983	Canada	H04M 11/00	Х	
	1,396,981	June 11, 1975	United kingdom	H04H 1/00	Х	
	1,523,307	August 31, 1978	Great Britain	H03K 5/08	X	
	1,543,502	April 4, 1979	United Kingdom	G08B9/00	X	
	1,582,563	January 14, 1981	United Kingdom	G08B9/00	Х	
	1,584,111	February 4, 1981	United Kingdom	G08B9/00	Х	
	2,051,527	January 14, 1981	Great Britain	G06F 3/153	Х	
	2,067,379	July 22, 1981	Great Britain	H04L 1/24	Х	
	2,090,504	July 7, 1982	Great Britain	H04N 3/16	Х	
	2,103,455	February 16, 1983	Great Britain	H04N 1/00 7/12	Х	
	2,496,376	June 18, 1982	France	H04N 7/00		Х
	2,516,733	May 5, 1983	France	H04N 7/00		Х
	2,823,175	November 29, 1979	German	G06F 3/12		Х
	24 53 441	May 13, 1976	Germany	H04L 9/00		Х
8	DE 3039949	May 6, 1982	German	H04M 3/42		Х
	DE 3112249	October 7, 1982	German	G09G 1/28		Х
	80/02901	December 24, 1980	France	H04N 7/16		Х
	857,862	January 4, 1961	United Kingdom	40 (1)	Х	
•	DE 3020787	December 17, 1981	Germany	H04N 7/08		Х
	GB 2 081 948 A	February 24, 1982	United Kingdom	H04Q 9/00	Х	
	WO80/00292	February 21, 1980	Japan	H04N9/16		Х
-	WO83/00789	March 3, 1983	Japan	H04N 7/08		Х

OTHER DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial	Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.
111100	Hanas et al.,"An Addressable Satellite Encryption System For Preventing Signal Piracy", November 1981, pp. 631-635.
	National Cable Television Association Executive Seminar Series, <u>Videotex Services</u> , October 1980, p 1-155.
	Kokado et al.,"A Programmable TV Receiver", February 1976, pp. 69-82.
	J. Hedger et al., "Telesoftware-Value Added Teletext", August 1980, pp. 555-567.
	Marti , B.,"The Concept Of A Universal "Teletext" June 1979, pp.1-11
	Article re: America's Talk-Back Television Experiment: Qube
	Article re: "Teletext-Applications in Electronic Publishing"
	Article re: A Description of the Broadcast Telidon System, IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronic Vol. CE - 26, August 1980
	Article re: EPEOSAutomatic Program Recording System by G. Degoulet
	Article re: Teletext signals transmitted in UK
	Article re: New services offered by a packet data broadcasting system, no. 149 February 1975
	Article re: Philips TV set indicates station tunign and color settings on screen, Electronics, Nov. 27, 1975
	Vincent, A. et al., "Telidon Teletest System Field Trials" IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics, CE - 27, No. 3, Aug. 1981, pp. 530-335
	Rzeszeewski, T.,"A New Telletex Channel"
	Kaplinsky, C.H., "The D**(2)B A One Logical Wire Bus for Consumer Applications" 1981
	Sechet, C., "Antiope Teletext Captioning" 1980
	Lambert, O. et al., "Antiope and D.R.C.S." 1980
	"LSI Circuits for Teletext and Viewdata The Lucy Generation" published by Mullard Limited, Mullar House (1981)
	Nicholas Negroponte in SID 80 Digest titled, "17.4/10:25 a.m.: Soft Fonts", pp. 184-185
	IEEE Consumer Electronics July 1979 issue from Spring Conference titled, "Consumer Text Display Systems", pp. 235-429
	Videotext '81 published by Online Conferences Ltd., for the May 20-22, 1981 Conference, pp. 1-470
	"Teletext and Viewdata Costs as Applied to the U.S. Market" Published by Mullard House (1979), pp.
	Dalton, C.J., "International Broadcasting Convention" (1968), Sponsors: E.E.A., I.E.E., I.E.E., I.E.E., etc.
	Shorter, D.E.L., "The Distribution of Television Sound by Pulse-Code Modulation Signals Incorporated the Video Waveform"
	Chorky, J.M., Shorter, D.E.L., "International Broadcasting Convention" (1970), pp. 166-169
	"The Implementation of the Sound-in-Sync project for Eurovision (Feb. 1975), pp. 18-22, No. 140 E.B. Review
	Maegele, Manfred, "Digital Transmissions of Two Television Sound Channels in Horizontal Banking", 68-70
	Weston, J.D., "Digital TV Transmission for the European Communications Satellite" (1974), pp. 318-
	Golding, L., "A 15 to 25 Mhz Digital Television System for Transmission of Commercial Color Televisi (1967), pp. 1-26
	Huth, Gaylord K., "Digital Television System Design Study: Final Report (11/28/76), prepared for NA Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center
	Weston, J.D., "Transmission of Television by Pulse Code modulation", Electrical Communication (196 pp. 165-172

Golding, L., "F1-Ditec-A-Digital Television Communications System for Satellite Links," Telecommunications Numeriques Par Satellite
Haberle, H. et al., "Digital TV Transmission via Satellite", Electrical Communications (1974)
Dirks, H. et al., "TV-PCM6 Integrated Sound and Vision Transmission System, Electrical Communicated (1977), pp. 61-67
Talygin, N.V. et al., The "Orbita" Ground Station for Receiving Television Programs Relayed by Satellites, Elecktrovinz, pp. 3-5
Portions of Electonic Engineer's Reference Book (1989) - Multichannel sound systems, Teletext transmission, cable television, ISDN applications, etc.
Collin, Simon, PC Text II (Hardware Review (Shortlist), PC User (1990)
Alfonzetti, Salvatore, "Interworking between teletext and OSI systems," Computer Communications (1989)
Voorman, J.O. et al., A one-chip Automatic Equalizer for Echo Reduction in Teletext , IIEE Transactio on Consumer Electronics, pp. 512-529
"Teletext (Broadcast Videotext) Begins in the United States" by Richard H. Veith, Logica, Inc. at Natio Online Meeting: Proceedings - 1982 sponsored by Online Review, pp. 547 - 551
MacKenzie, G.A., A Model for the UK Teletext Level 2 Specification (Ref: GTV2 242 Annex 6" based the ISO Layer model
Chambers, J.P., A Domestic Television Program Delivery Services, British Broadcasting Corporation, pp. 1-5
McKenzie, G.A., UK Teletext - The Engineering Choices, Independent Broadcasting Authority, pp. 1-8
Adding a new dimension to British television, Electronic Engineering (1974)
Jones, Keith, The Development of Teletext, pp. 1-6
Ando, Heiichero et al., Still-Picture Broadcasting - A new Informational and Instructional Broadcasting System, IEEE Transactions on Broadcasting (1973), pp. 68-76
B.B.C.I.B.A., Specification of Standards for information transmission by digitally coded signals in the figure - blanking interval of 625-line systems (1974), pp. 5-40
Tarrant, D.R., "Teletext for the World" (date unknown)
Clifford, Colin et al., "Microprocessor Based, Software Defined Television Controller", IEEE Transacti on Consumer Electronics (1978), pp. 436-441
Hughes, William L. et al., "Some Design Considerations for Home Interactive Terminals", IEEE Transactions on Broadcasting (1971)
Mothersdale, Peter L., "Teletext and viewdata: new information systems using the domestic televisio receiver", Electronics Record (1979), pp. 1349-1354
Betts, W.R., "Viewdata: the evolution of home and business terminals", PROC.IEE (1979), pp. 1362-1366
Hutt, P.R., "Thical and practical ruggedness of UK teletext transmission", PROC.IEE (1979), pp. 1397-1403
Rogers, B.J., "Methods of measurement on teletext receivers and decoders", PROC.IEE (1979), pp.1404-1407
Green, N., "Subtitling using teletext service - technical and editorial aspects", PROC.IEE (1979), pp. 1408-1416
Chambers, M.A., "Teletext - enhancing the basic system", PROC.IEE (1979), pp. 1425-1428
Crowther, G.O., "Adaptation of UK Teletex System for 525/60 Operation", IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics (1980), pp. 587-596
Lopinto, John, "The Application of DRCS within the North American Broad cast Teletext Specification" IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics (1982), pp. 612-617
BBC, BBC Microcomputer: BBC Microcomputer with Added Processor and Teletex Adaptor (Manual)
Green, N.W., "Picture Oracle," On Independent Television Companies Association Limited Letterhead

National Captioning Institute, Comments on the Matter of Amendment of Part 73, Subpart E. of the Federal Communications Rules Government Television Stations to Authorize Teletext (before F.C.C.) 03-26-81
 Balchin, C., "Videotext and the U.S.A.", I.C. Product Marketing Memo
 EIA Teletext SubCommittee Meetings, Report on USA Visit
 Brighton's Experience with Software for Broadcast (Draft) 1981
 The institution of Electronic and Radio Engineers, Conference on Electronic Delivery of Data and Software, Pub. no. 69, 9/1986
 AT&T, "Videotex Standard Presentation Level Protocol", 1981
 Various Commissioner statements on Authorization of Teletext Transmissions by TV Stations, BC Docket No. 81-741, 03-31-83
Report and Order of FCC on the Matter of Amendment of Parts 2,73, and 76 of the Commission's Rul to Authorize the Transmission of Teletext by TV Stations, pp. 1-37, 05-20-83
 IBA Technical Review of Digital Television by F. Howard Steele, pp. 1-64, 6/1973
 National Cable Television Association report, "Videotex Services" given at Executive Seminar,pp. iii-1
 Electronic Industries Association - Teletext Subcommittee Task Group A - Systems Minutes of Meetin 3/30/81 at Zenith plus attachments
Electronic Industries Association - Teletext Subcommittee Task Group A -Systems Interim Report, 3/30/81 by Stuart Lipoff, Arthur D. Little Inc.
Minutes of Electronic Industries Association Teletext Subcommittee Task Force B - Laboratory & Fiel Tests 3/30/81
National Captioning Institute Report, "The 1980 Closed-Captioned Television Audience"
Electronic Industries Assoc Teletext Subcommittee - Steering Committee Minutes of Meeting on 3/31/81
 Various Articles following cover sheet titled "QVP - Pay Per View" 11/29/82
National Cable Television Association report, "Videotex Services" October 1980
 Scala Info Channel Advertisement, "The Art of Conveying A Message"
 Zenith Corporation's Z-Tac Systems information includes Z-tac specifications, access list, etc. (varou articles)
Report by Cablesystems Engineering Ltd. on, "Zenith Addressable System and Operating Procedures and Advertising documents, Nov. 1981
 Memo from W. Thomas to G. Kelly on 1/21/82 Re: Modified ZTAC/Multi Channel
 Notations by Walt Ciciora dated 8/19/81 referring to Virtext figures, 8/19/81
"Preliminay Specification for Basic Text" Stamped Zenith Confidential, 2/17/81
Petition to FCC dated 3/26/81 titled, "Petition for Rulemaking of Unighted Kingdom Teletext Industry Goup," also 1 page of handwritten notes from Walter Ciciora
"Enhanced Computer Controlled Teletext for 525 Line Systems (Usecct) SAA 5245 User Manual" rep by J.R. Kinghorn, August 1, 1981
"Questions and Answers about Pay TV" by Ira Kamen, 1973
Oak Industries 1981 Annual Report
Article, "50 Different Uses For At Home 2-Way Cable TV Systems" by Morton Dubin
Derwent Info Ltd. search. Integrated broadcasting & Computer Processing system. Inventor J. Harve Cuddihy
"Relevant papers for Weather Channel V PMMC"
 Letter to Peter Hatt Re: BVT: Advisory UK Industry Contact Group, 6/24/81
Memo RE: Next Moves by British teletext and video proponents toward gaining support of systems in US.
Memo - Re: British Teletext ABC
 Notes to Section 22.4: Simple Block Encipherment Algorithm

Internal Correspondence to John Meyer from Mike Clader RE: Teletext Business Posture, Sept. 18, 1981 and Internal Correspondence to Mike Calder from John Nemec RE: Trips to Zenith, Sept. 9, 198
Memo to Bernie Kotten about National Cable TV Association meeting and efforts to encourage Sony t integrate teletext chip sets into its TV, March 25, 1986
Kahn, et al., "Advances in Packet Radio Technology," Proceedings of the IEEE, Vol. 66, No. 11, Nov. (1978) pp. 1468-1495
Clifford, C., "A Universal Controller for Text Display Systems," IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics, (1979) pp. 424-429
Harden, B., "Teletext/Viewdata LSI," IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics, (1979), pp. 353-35
Bown, H. et al., "Comparative Terminal Realizatins with Alpha-Geometric Coding," IEEE Transaction Consumer Electronics, (1980), pp. 605-614
Crowther, "Dynamically Redefinable Character Sets-D.R.C.S.," IEEE Transaction on Consumer Electronics, (1980), pp. 707-716
Chambers, John et al., "The Development of a Coding Hierarchy for Enhanced UK Teletext," IEEE Transaction on Consumer Electronics, (1981), pp. 536-540
In Re Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 4,706,121
U.S. Patent Application by T. Diepholz (Serial No. 266900), filing date 5-26-81
88908836.5 International Application to John C. Harvey
Kruger, H. E., "Memory Television, The ZPS Digital Identification System." pp. 1 - 9

ca et g si

DATE CONSIDERED	
EXAMINER:Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with M.P.E.P. 609; draw line through citation not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant(s).	